

ml

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/723,442	11/26/2003	Emie Lin	12203-007001 5974		
26161 FISH & RICHA	7590 02/14/2007 ARDSON PC	EXAMINER			
P.O. BOX 1022			TRAN, TUAN A		
MINNEAPOLI	IS, MN 55440-1022		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2618		
		•			
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			02/14/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/723,442	LIN ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Tuan A. Tran	2618	

	Tuan A. Tran	2618					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appear	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 30 January 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Nor a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	ving replies: (1) an amendment, affi tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in c	davit, or other eviden ompliance with 37 Cl	ce, which FR 41.31; or (3)				
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	date of the final rejection.						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE 06.07(f).	date of the final rejection FIRST REPLY WAS F	on. ILED WITHIN				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount of chortened statutory period for reply original than three months after the mailing dat	of the fee. The appropri nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as				
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th					
AMENDMENTS							
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, It (a)	nsideration and/or search (see NOT w);	TE below);					
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet	ter form for appeal by materially red	ducing or simplifying t	the issues for				
appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c	corresponding number of finally reis	acted claims					
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1:		colou ciaims.					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	* **	mpliant Amendment (PTOL-324).				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):		, in price of the second secon					
 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 							
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) I how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provide status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		l be entered and an e	xplanation of				
Claim(s) allowed Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,4-13 and 15-21</u> .							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	a bustonia a constanti della d		4 b 4 d				
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	d sufficient reasons why the affidavi	it or other evidence is	necessary and				
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome all rejections under appea	ıl and/or appellant fai	ls to provide a				
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER							
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowar	ice because:				
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)						
WANTEAN TRAN		Matthew D. Anders	on				
TVANTRAN		OFE - 2010					

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The newly added limitation "direct analog modulation of an original analog voiceband data" has never been claimed before; therefore it is considered new issue that would require further consideration and/or search..

MATTHEW ANDERSON

INCRVISORY PATENT EXAMINER